	FIL	EL)		
COURT	OF	AP	PE	AL	S
D	VIS	ION	11-		

2013 FEB 25 AM 8: 55

STATE OF WASHINGTON

BY_____

No. 43384-2-II

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATES OF WASHINGTON DIVISION TWO

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Respondent,

V.

REYCEL PEREZ-MARTINEZ,

Appellant.

ON APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR CLARK COUNTY

APPELLANT'S PRO-SE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

REYCEL PEREZ-MARTINEZ
Appellant, pro-se

SCCC - 191 CONSTANTINE WAY, ABERDEEN, WA., 98520

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ASSIGN	MENTS OF ERROR
A.	ISSUES PERTAINING TO ASSIGNMENTS OF ERROR1
Вь	STATEMENT OF THE CASE1
C.	ARGUMENT
	1. THE APPELLANT'S CONVICTION WAS A DIRECT RESULT OF THE STATE'S FAILURE TO CORRECT TESTIMONY KNOWN TO BE FALSE, IN VIOLATION OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT
	a. A conviction obtained through the use of false testimony, known to be false, falls under the Fourteenth Amendment
	b. A conviction obtained by the knowing use of perjured testimony, must be set aside if there is any reasonable likelihood that the false testimony could have affected the jury's verdict
	c. The appellant's conviction must be set aside when the State failed to correct false testimony when it appeared
	a trial resulting in a verdict worthy of confidence16
	2. APPELLANT'S CONVICTION WAS A DIRECT RESULT OF THE STATE'S VOUCHING FOR THE CREDIBILITY OF THE WITNESS, IN VIOLATION OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT
	a. It is prosecutorial misconduct for the prosecutor to express a personal belief about the credibility of a witness17